

# A mycorrhiza-associated receptor-like kinase with an ancient origin in the green lineage

Héctor Montero<sup>a,1</sup>, Tak Lee<sup>a,b</sup>, Boas Pucker<sup>c</sup>, Gabriel Ferreras-Garrucho<sup>c</sup>, Giles Oldroyd<sup>a,b</sup>, Samuel F. Brockington<sup>c</sup>, Akio Miyao<sup>d</sup>, and Uta Paszkowski<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Crop Science Centre, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0LE, United Kingdom; <sup>b</sup>Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1LR, United Kingdom; <sup>c</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EA, United Kingdom; and <sup>d</sup>Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Ibaraki 305-8518 Tsukuba, Japan

Edited by Gloria M. Coruzzi, New York University, New York, NY, and approved May 11, 2021 (received for review March 18, 2021)

**Receptor-like kinases (RLKs) are key cell signaling components. The rice ARBUSCULAR RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 1 (OsARK1) regulates the arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) association postarbuscule development and belongs to an undefined subfamily of RLKs. Our phylogenetic analysis revealed that ARK1 has an ancient paralogue in spermatophytes, ARK2. Single *ark2* and *ark1/ark2* double mutants in rice showed a nonredundant AM symbiotic function for OsARK2. Global transcriptomics identified a set of genes coregulated by the two RLKs, suggesting that OsARK1 and OsARK2 orchestrate symbiosis in a common pathway. ARK lineage proteins harbor a newly identified SPARK domain in their extracellular regions, which underwent parallel losses in ARK1 and ARK2 in monocots. This protein domain has ancient origins in streptophyte algae and defines additional overlooked groups of putative cell surface receptors.**

arbuscular mycorrhiza | SPARK receptor-like kinases | OsARK2

Land plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi form an ancient and widespread nutritional mutualism. Plant–fungal reciprocal recognition in the rhizosphere is commonly followed by hyphal entry into plant roots and subsequent bidirectional nutrient exchange fostered at intracellular arbuscules. A plant-derived periarbuscular membrane (PAM) surrounds arbuscule branches as they develop, creating a potential hub for plant–fungal communication.

The receptor-like kinase (RLK) ARBUSCULAR RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 1 (ARK1) is required for sustenance of AM symbiosis in rice and *Medicago truncatula* (1, 2) and is evolutionarily conserved in genomes of AM-competent plant species (2, 3). The rice OsARK1 (LOC\_Os11g26140) is a PAM RLK that regulates fungal fitness at the postarbuscule development stage (1). As RLKs tend to operate in complex signaling circuits, we aimed to identify additional components of the OsARK1 pathway.

OsARK1 belongs to an uncharacterized group of land plant-specific RLKs, the Unknown Receptor Kinase-2 (URK-2) subfamily (4). In order to trace the evolutionary history of the URK-2 subfamily, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis using publicly available genomic and transcriptomic data (Fig. 1A and Dataset S1). We found that the URK-2 subfamily is composed of two members in nonseed land plants: *ARK* and *SIMILAR PROTEIN TO ARK 1* (*SPARK1*). An ancient duplication in the *ARK* lineage gave rise to the paralogues *ARK1* and *ARK2* in spermatophytes. The latter experienced a further duplication early in the evolution of eudicots creating *ARK2a* and *ARK2b*, which in some cases was followed by loss of one of the paralogues. Contrary to *ARK* lineage genes, *SPARK1* can be found in several non-AM land plants and was lost in eudicots. As in other monocots, the URK-2 subfamily in rice is composed of three members. The two paralogues *OsARK1* and *OsARK2* (LOC\_Os04g39180) code for predicted functional RLKs that lack extracellular domains (EDs) and *OsARK2* also lacks a predicted signal peptide. *OsSPARK1* (LOC\_Os07g12480), however, is predicted to harbor a 250-amino-acid-long ED. Occurrence of this ED was surveyed across URK-2 subfamily members, revealing that it was lost independently in

*ARK1* and *ARK2* in monocots (Fig. 1A). This suggests that *ARK1* and *ARK2* follow a common evolutionary trajectory in monocots despite occurring in paralogous gene lineages that diverged early in the evolution of tracheophytes. This prompted us to functionally characterize *OsARK2*.

*OsARK2* transcripts have been reported to accumulate during AM symbiosis (1, 5, 6). We performed a time course gene expression assay confirming *OsARK2* to be induced in AM conditions but, in contrast to *OsARK1*, low transcript levels of *OsARK2* were also detected in noninoculated plants (Fig. 1B). We obtained an *ark2* mutant allele containing a *Tos17* retrotransposon element insertion in the kinase domain catalytic loop-coding region. Disruption of the *OsARK2* transcript was confirmed through RT-PCR (Fig. 1C). This *ark2* mutant was crossed with the previously characterized *ark1-2* mutant allele (hereafter referred as *ark1*) (1) to generate an *ark1/ark2* double knockout (*dKO*) line. A time course experiment revealed that the *ark2* mutant had a significantly reduced AM colonization phenotype; however, arbuscules and vesicles were more abundant than in *ark1* (Fig. 1D). The phenotypes of both *ark1* and *ark2* are plastic, as applying higher amounts of spore inoculum resulted in wild-type (WT) levels of arbuscule abundance and an even reduction of vesicles across mutant genotypes (Fig. 1E). Arbuscules were able to fully branch in *ark2* akin to *ark1* (Fig. 1F). The *dKO* largely reproduced the *ark1* phenotype (Fig. 1D–F). These observations suggest a nonredundant and nonsynergistic regulation of AM symbiosis by *OsARK1* and *OsARK2*.

We determined the transcriptional consequences of the different mutations via RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). To identify genotype effects, we employed 10 biological replicates per genotype and measured the correlation of arbuscule abundance to expression levels of each gene across replicates. This resulted in a filtered dataset where transcriptional responses associated solely with differential colonization levels were removed (Dataset S2). We focused on the most stringently differentially expressed genes (DEGs) whose expression levels in all 10 *dKO* replicates were higher or lower than all 10 wild-type replicates. This strict non-overlapping expression was observed in a total of 31 up- and 6 down-regulated DEGs, most of which were also differentially expressed in the single mutants (Fig. 1G and H). This convergent

Author contributions: H.M. and U.P. designed research; H.M., G.F.-G., and A.M. performed research; H.M., T.L., B.P., G.O., and S.F.B. analyzed data; H.M. and U.P. wrote the paper; G.O. funded T.L.'s research activities; S.F.B. funded B.P.'s research activities; and A.M. generated the NG0028 mutant line.


The authors declare no competing interest.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: hm530@cam.ac.uk or up220@cam.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at <https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2105281118/-DCSupplemental>.

Published June 14, 2021.




**Fig. 1.** Identification and characterization of *OsARK2*. (A) Phylogenetic tree of the URK-2 RLK subfamily. Bootstrap values of important nodes are shown. Occurrence of the ED was surveyed for each sequence, purple denoting presence and black absence. White accounts for incomplete sequences where occurrence of the ED could not be established. A detailed tree is available in [Dataset S1](#). (B) Comparative gene expression assay in a time course of wild-type rice. Expression levels of *Rhizophagus irregularis* ELONGATION FACTOR (*RIEF*) are included to account for increases of AM fungal biomass over time. Expression levels in inoculated (+AM) and noninoculated (–AM) roots are normalized to *OSCYCLOPHILIN2*. Bars represent means. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between +AM and –AM treatments (Kruskal–Wallis and post hoc Dunn’s test  $P < 0.05$ ). (C) Gene structure of *OsARK2*. *Tos17* element is inserted between the first and second nucleotide of the codon encoding the universal Asp residue from the kinase domain catalytic loop in exon V (978 base pairs from first nucleotide of ATG). Right: RT-PCR shows no transcripts in *ark2* using oligonucleotides spanning *Tos17* insertion. (D) Time course colonization assay employing 250 *R. irregularis* spores as inoculum. (E) Colonization assay under different inoculum pressures evaluated at 6 wk postinoculation (WPI). For D and E, bars represent means and different letters denote statistically significant differences between genotypes (Kruskal–Wallis and post hoc Dunn’s test  $P < 0.05$ ). (F) Confocal microscope images of fully developed arbuscules stained with wheat germ agglutinin (WGA)-Alexa Fluor 633. (Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.) Representative images per genotype are provided. (G) Subset of DEGs from the RNA-seq assay that displayed a strict nonoverlapping expression pattern in the WT-*dKO* comparison. Color hue accounts for degree of up- or down-regulation compared to the WT ( $\log_2FC \geq |1|$ , FDR adjusted  $P \leq 0.05$ ). Gray squares represent no expression changes in the respective genotypes. Asterisks mark genes selected for validation. (H) qRT-PCR assays confirming the pattern of expression of a subset of DEGs in an independent experiment. Expression levels are normalized to *OSCYCLOPHILIN2*. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between gene expression of mutant genotypes and WT control (Kruskal–Wallis and post hoc Dunn’s test  $P < 0.05$ ). FC, fold change. n.s., statistically nonsignificant.

response suggests that *OsARK1* and *OsARK2* operate in a common, yet undescribed symbiotic genetic program.

ARK1 and ARK2 in monocots are characterized by the loss of an otherwise conserved ED (Fig. 1A). This ED has not been defined and has no homology to known domains. An alignment of the EDs of all URK-2 orthologs identified in this study showed them to have a highly conserved signature arrangement of 12 cysteine residues (Fig. 2A). This newly described domain was named SPARK (Pfam ID: PF19160, available at <https://pfam.xfam.org/family/PF19160>). Accordingly, the URK-2 RLK subfamily was renamed SPARK-I. The SPARK domain was also found to constitute the ED of two other uncharacterized land plant RLK subfamilies; the URK-1 (Unknown Receptor Kinase-1) and RKF3 (Receptor Kinase in Flowers 3) (Fig. 2B). In addition,

we detected the SPARK domain in a number of RLKs of *Klebsormidium nitens*, a member of a lineage of streptophyte algae sister to land plants. Surprisingly, the SPARK domain was present in more than 10% of the small repertoire of *K. nitens* RLKs. These RLKs form a monophyletic group and their domain architecture mostly consists in two tandemly arranged SPARK domains (Fig. 2B). In land plants, we also found the SPARK domain in predicted GPI-anchored receptor-like proteins (RLPs), including the previously characterized rice gene *SEMI-ROLLED LEAF1* (*OSRL1*), involved in cell wall formation (7, 8). We identified a total of 11 SPARK domain-containing proteins in rice (Fig. 2C).

In summary, we characterized *OsARK2* as a RLK functioning in AM symbiosis. While previous large-scale phylogenomics studies suggested a strict association between the two RLKs and AM



**Fig. 2.** The SPARK domain. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of the SPARK domain from selected representative sequences for each member of the SPARK-I RLK subfamily. Residues colored purple are conserved in at least 80% of all sequences identified in this study. Residues in pink are conserved in at least 50% of the sequences. (B) Phylogenetic tree of SPARK domain-harboring RLK subfamilies. All sequences form the SPARK-I, URK-1, and RKF3 subfamilies from selected plant species (*Physcomitrium patens*, *Selaginella moellendorffii*, *Amborella trichopoda*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and rice) are included along with all 17 SPARK domain-harboring RLKs from *K. nitens*. Branches corresponding to rice proteins are named. Number of *K. nitens* RLKs having one or two SPARK domains are written below schematics. A detailed tree is available in [Dataset S4](#). (C) Predicted protein domain architecture of rice SPARK-I subfamily members along with all rice proteins identified in this study predicted to have a SPARK domain.

symbiosis (2, 3), we detected *ARK2* but not *ARK1* in the three orchid genomes available ([Dataset S1](#)) and *ARK2* is induced during orchid mycorrhizal symbiosis (9). This may reflect a putative broader role of *ARK2* compared to *ARK1* in plant-fungal symbioses. Functionally characterizing the gene suite regulated by the two RLKs identified here by transcriptomics represents an avenue to resolve differential roles. In addition, the discovery of the SPARK domain provides the foundations to unravel ancestral, as yet unknown signaling pathways in the green lineage and invites further investigation into its function and the biological context allowing for its particular pattern of occurrence in symbiotic RLKs.

## Materials and Methods

Molecular characterization of mutants, plant growth conditions, AM inoculation and colonization assessments were performed following previously described protocols and guidelines (1, 10). Phylogenetic analyses, gene

expression assays, RNA-seq assays, and data analyses were performed as in ref. 11. Procedures are detailed in [SI Appendix, Extended Methods](#).

**Data Availability.** See [Dataset S1](#) for a detailed phylogenetic tree of Fig. 1A, [Dataset S2](#) for RNA-seq data, [Dataset S3](#) for a list of sequences, and [Dataset S4](#) for a detailed phylogenetic tree of Fig. 2B. RNA-seq raw data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information gene expression omnibus (ID: [GSE168162](#)) (12). All other study data are included in the supporting information.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank Rob Finn (European Bioinformatics Institute) for curating the SPARK domain into the Pfam database and James Gattward for technical assistance. H.M. was supported by the Chilean National Agency for Research and Development and Cambridge TRUST. Research in U.P.'s laboratory was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Grant BB/P003419/1, the Engineering the Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa project, which is funded by a grant to the University of Cambridge by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and a St. John's College Teaching and Research grant.

- R. Roth et al., A rice Serine/Threonine receptor-like kinase regulates arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis at the peri-arbuscular membrane. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4677 (2018).
- A. Bravo, T. York, N. Pumplun, L. A. Mueller, M. J. Harrison, Genes conserved for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis identified through phylogenomics. *Nat. Plants* **2**, 15208 (2016).
- G. V. Radhakrishnan et al., An ancestral signalling pathway is conserved in intracellular symbioses-forming plant lineages. *Nat. Plants* **6**, 280–289 (2020).
- M. D. Lehti-Shiu, S. H. Shiu, Diversity, classification and function of the plant protein kinase superfamily. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **367**, 2619–2639 (2012).
- S. Güimil et al., Comparative transcriptomics of rice reveals an ancient pattern of response to microbial colonization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 8066–8070 (2005).
- C. Gutjahr et al., Transcriptome diversity among rice root types during asymbiosis and interaction with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 6754–6759 (2015).
- J. J. Xiang, G. H. Zhang, Q. Qian, H. W. Xue, Semi-rolled leaf1 encodes a putative glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein and modulates rice leaf rolling by regulating the formation of bulliform cells. *Plant Physiol.* **159**, 1488–1500 (2012).
- W. Q. Li et al., CLD1/SRL1 modulates leaf rolling by affecting cell wall formation, epidermis integrity and water homeostasis in rice. *Plant J.* **92**, 904–923 (2017).
- C. Miura et al., The mycoheterotrophic symbiosis between orchids and mycorrhizal fungi possesses major components shared with mutualistic plant-mycorrhizal symbioses. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **31**, 1032–1047 (2018).
- H. Montero, J. Choi, U. Paszkowski, Arbuscular mycorrhizal phenotyping: The dos and don'ts. *New Phytol.* **221**, 1182–1186 (2019).
- J. Choi et al., The negative regulator SMAX1 controls mycorrhizal symbiosis and strigolactone biosynthesis in rice. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2114 (2020).
- H. Montero et al., A mycorrhiza-associated receptor-like kinase with an ancient origin in the green lineage. *Gene Expression Omnibus* (GEO). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE168162>. Deposited 3 March 2021.